



## EARLY VOTING October 20-27

Polls Open 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM CST  
(excluding Sundays)

## ELECTION DAY TUESDAY November 3, 2026

Polls are open 6:00 AM - 8:00 PM CST

### Register to Vote

Last day in  
person or by  
mail:

**Oct. 5**

Last day  
online:

**Oct. 13**



[pcej.org/Vote](https://pcej.org/Vote)



### Vote by Mail

[PowerCoalition.org/Vote](https://PowerCoalition.org/Vote)

NOTE: If you are planning to vote by mail, we recommend you do so as soon as possible to make sure your vote is counted. **If you are mailing your ballot, be sure to mail by October 30 in order to have it postmarked by election day.**

**REQUEST**  
a Vote by Mail  
Ballot:

**Oct. 30**

by 4:30 PM

**RETURN**  
a Completed  
Vote by Mail Ballot:

**Nov. 2**

by 4:30 PM

This sample ballot displays every election taking place in the Parish. Actual ballots may vary by precinct or district. Scan the QR code to confirm your specific ballot on the Secretary of State's website.



# ST. CHARLES PARISH SAMPLE BALLOT

*U.S. Senate General,  
Open U.S. Representative Primary, & Open Primary*

## U.S. Senate General

**The Senate is part of the legislative branch of the U.S. Government that makes laws.** The Senate votes on bills, resolutions, motions, amendments, nominations, and treaties in a variety of ways. The Senate also tries impeachment cases for federal officials referred to it by the House.

### U. S. Senator

- ☐ Jamie Davis – Democrat, Black, Male
- ☐ Julia Letlow – Republican, White, Female

## U.S. Representative Open Primary

**The House of Representatives is part of the legislative branch of the U.S. Government that makes laws.** Representatives introduce bills and resolutions, offer amendments and serve on committees. The House has several powers assigned exclusively to it, including the power to initiate revenue bills, impeach federal officials, and elect the President in the case of an electoral college tie.

### U. S. Representative, 2nd Congressional District

- ☐ Lisa Ballay – Libertarian, White, Female
- ☐ Walter 'Rocky' Beach – No Party, Other, Male
- ☐ Troy A. Carter, Sr. – Democrat, Black, Male
- ☐ Renada 'Honey' Collins – No Party, Other, Female
- ☐ Peter Williams – Republican, Black, Male

### U. S. Representative, 6th Congressional District

- ☐ Monique Appeaning – Republican, Black, Female
- ☐ Chauna Banks – Democrat, Black, Female
- ☐ Rufus Craig – Libertarian, White, Male
- ☐ Larry Davis III – Republican, Black, Male
- ☐ Rick Edmonds – Republican, White, Male
- ☐ Patrick 'Pat' Forbes – Democrat, White, Male
- ☐ Lindsay 'Rubia' Garcia – Democrat, White, Female
- ☐ Michael Mebruer – Republican, White, Male
- ☐ Blake Miguez – Republican, White, Male
- ☐ Stephen Wagley – Republican, White, Male

## PSC General

**The Louisiana Public Service Commission (LPSC) is an independent regulatory agency** dedicated to serving the public interest by assuring safe, reliable, and reasonably priced service from public utilities and motor carriers. The LPSC consists of five elected Commissioners who serve overlapping terms of six years.

**Responsibilities:** The goals of the Commission are to ensure a regulatory balance that enables utilities to provide customers with safe, adequate and reliable service, at rates that are just and reasonable, equitable and economically efficient. The LPSC also takes an active and cautious role in the development of a competitive, market-based approach to utility regulation.

### PSC District 1

- ☐ Stephanie Hilferty – Republican, White, Female
- ☐ Christopher ‘Chris’ Justin – No Party, Asian, Male
- ☐ Connie Norris – Democrat, Black, Female

## Open Primary

**The school board sets policy for the parish or city school system,** hires and oversees the superintendent, and adopts the district’s budget. Boards make decisions on school staffing formulas and salaries, facilities and construction, school boundaries and closures, and disciplinary policy, and they provide financial oversight for the entire district. Because school boards control local education budgets and policy, school board elections have a direct, immediate impact on the classroom experience of students in a parish.

### Member of School Board, District 5

- ☐ Tommy Scott – Republican, Black, Male
- ☐ Deborah Smith – Democrat, Black, Female

### Member of School Board, District 8

- ☐ Daniel Burst – Republican, White, Male
- ☐ Joel Picolo – Republican, White, Male

**Justices of the peace have no criminal jurisdiction, except as committing magistrates and for the issuance of peace bonds.** They have original civil jurisdiction in cases up to \$5,000, but are NOT allowed to decide lawsuits involving title to real estate, the right to public office, divorce proceedings, suits against public bodies, and executory proceedings.

### Justice of the Peace, District 3

- ☐ Stephanie Gubert – No Party, White, Female
- ☐ Webb Jay IV – Republican, White, Male

### Justice of the Peace, District 4

- ☐ Hunter McDonald – Republican, White, Male
- ☐ Rachelle M. Tregle – No Party, White, Female

### Justice of the Peace, District 5

- ☐ Andrea Adams – Democrat, Black, Female
- ☐ Faye Cockrham – Democrat, Black, Female

**The role of the constable** is to preserve the public peace, execute the process in City Courts and Justice of Peace Courts, and discharge other functions assigned by law. They serve warrants and summonses, collect garnishments, and process evictions and other matters pertaining to the court.

### Constable, Justice of the Peace District 1

- ☐ Rose LeGaux – Democrat, Black, Female
- ☐ David Schilling – Republican, White, Male

**Unopposed Races**

**District Judge, 29th Judicial District Court, Division C**  
Connie Aucoin – Republican, White, Female

**District Judge, 29th Judicial District Court, Division D**  
Rochelle Champagne Fahrig – Republican, White, Female

**District Judge, 29th Judicial District Court, Division E**  
Lauren Davey Rogers – Republican, White, Female

**District Attorney, 29th Judicial District Court**  
Joel Chaisson II – Democrat, White, Male

**Member of School Board, District 1**  
Aleshia Smith – Democrat, Black, Female

**Member of School Board, District 2**  
Ray Gregson – Republican, White, Male

**Member of School Board, District 3**  
Scott Cody – Republican, White, Male

**Member of School Board, District 4**  
Karen Boudreaux – Republican, White, Female

**Member of School Board, District 6**  
Becky Weber – Republican, White, Female

**Member of School Board, District 7**  
Mitzi Faucheux – Republican, White, Female

**Justice of the Peace, District 1**  
Terrell Wilson – Democrat, Black, Male

**Justice of the Peace, District 2**  
Rosemarie 'Rosie' Young – Republican, White, Female

**Justice of the Peace, District 6**  
Theron Dufrene – Republican, White, Male

**Justice of the Peace, District 7**  
Daniel Dugas – Republican, White, Male

**Constable, Justice of the Peace District 2**  
Kenny Gatorman Schmill – No Party, White, Male

**Constable, Justice of the Peace District 3**  
Melissa Manning Perrier – Republican, White, Female

**Constable, Justice of the Peace District 4**  
Glen P. Bourgeois Sr. – Republican, White, Male

**Constable, Justice of the Peace District 5**  
Stephen Black – Democrat, White, Male

**Constable, Justice of the Peace District 6**  
Jerry Pfister Jr. – Republican, White, Male

**Constable, Justice of the Peace District 7**  
Maria Cazenave – No Party, White, Female

**Parishwide Proposition No. 1 of 2  
(Recreation Millage Renewal)**

Shall the Parish of St. Charles, State of Louisiana (the "Parish"), be authorized to continue to levy a 2.68 mills tax on all property subject to taxation in the Parish (an estimated \$6,800,000 reasonably expected at this time to be collected from the levy of the tax for an entire year), for a period of 10 years, beginning with the year 2028 and ending with the year 2037, for the purpose of constructing, improving, maintaining and operating recreation facilities and programs in the Parish?

☐ Yes☐ No**Parishwide Proposition No. 2 of 2  
(Council on Aging In-Lieu Millage)**

Shall Parish of St. Charles, State of Louisiana (the "Parish"), be authorized to levy and collect a special tax of 1.25 mills on all property subject to taxation in the Parish (an estimated \$3,200,000 reasonably expected at this time to be collected from the levy of the tax for an entire year), for a period of 10 years, beginning with the year 2027 and ending with the year 2036, for the purpose of constructing, improving, maintaining and operating facilities and programs for the elderly in the Parish through the St. Charles Council on Aging Incorporated, said tax to be in lieu of and completely replace separate taxes aggregating 1.8 mills previously authorized, specifically consisting of the following: (i) 1.00 mill tax authorized to be levied in the Parish through the year 2027 pursuant to an election on December 10, 2016, and (ii) 0.8 mills tax authorized to be levied in the Parish through the year 2028 pursuant to an election on November 6, 2018?

☐ Yes☐ No

**Constitutional Amendments****Proposed Amendment 1**  
**Disabled Veteran Property Tax Exemption**

Do you support an amendment to allow the surviving spouse of a deceased veteran with a service-connected disability, who receives the additional property tax exemption, to make a one-time transfer of the additional property tax exemption to a subsequent qualifying property? (Effective January 1, 2027) (Amends Article VII, Section 21(K)(1))

☐ Yes ☒ **NO**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ A surviving spouse of a disabled veteran can transfer an existing additional property tax exemption to one new qualifying home after moving.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ The additional tax exemption stays with the original property and cannot be transferred to a new home.
- ➔ **Why NO:** We support veterans and their families, but we do not need another special tax exception written into the state constitution.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Surviving spouses of disabled veterans
- ➔ Local governments.

**Potential Impact**

Eligible surviving spouses would have **greater flexibility to move** without losing their property tax benefit. Local governments may collect slightly less property tax revenue from qualifying properties.

**Proposed Amendment 2**  
**Local Property Tax Rates (Millages)**

Do you support an amendment to allow a local taxing authority to continue to levy a lower millage rate without losing its ability to adjust to the maximum authorized millage rate from a prior year's reassessment? (Effective January 1, 2027) (Amends Article VII, Section 23(C))

☐ Yes ☒ **NO**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ Local governments can temporarily lower property tax rates and later restore them to their previously authorized maximum without voter approval.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ Current law remains. A government that lowers its millage may lose some ability to return to the previous maximum rate.
- ➔ **Why NO:** It **would** make it easier for property tax rates to go back up. Keep the current rules in place.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Homeowners
- ➔ Businesses
- ➔ Local governments
- ➔ Taxpayers

**Potential Impact**

Gives local governments **more flexibility in managing tax rates**. Supporters say it allows temporary tax relief without permanently reducing future revenue options. Critics say it could make future property tax increases easier.

**Constitutional Amendments****Proposed Amendment 3****Post-Conviction Bail for Crimes Against Children**

Do you support an amendment to prohibit a defendant from being released on any post-conviction bail if the defendant is convicted of an aggravated offense against a minor child? (Adds Article I, Section 18(C))

☐ Yes ☒ **NO**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ A person convicted of certain aggravated crimes against a minor cannot be released on bail while appealing the conviction.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ Judges continue to follow existing laws when deciding whether someone may be released on bail after conviction.
- ➔ **Why NO:** Judges should be able to look at each case. Our justice system makes mistakes, and appeals are an important part of due process.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Defendants
- ➔ Crime victims
- ➔ Courts
- ➔ Law enforcement

**Potential Impact**

People convicted of these offenses would remain in custody during the appeals process. Supporters say this increases public safety. Critics may argue it limits judicial discretion before appeals are complete.

**Proposed Amendment 4****Governor Term Limits**

Do you support an amendment to prohibit a person who has served more than one and one-half terms as governor from being elected as governor for any future term? (Amends Article IV, Section 3(B))

☐ Yes ☒ **NO**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ Anyone who has served more than one and one-half terms as governor could never run for governor again.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ Current constitutional term-limit rules stay in place—two terms consecutively, but can serve an unlimited amount of terms as long as they are not consecutive.
- ➔ **Why NO:** Let the voters decide who they want to elect.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Future Governors
- ➔ Voters

**Potential Impact**

Creates a lifetime limit for governors who exceed one and one-half terms, increasing leadership turnover while limiting future voter choices.

**Constitutional Amendments****Proposed Amendment 5**  
**State Retirement Debt**

Do you support an amendment to authorize a state retirement system to apply any nonrecurring state monies it receives to any of its unfunded accrued liability rather than requiring application to its oldest unfunded accrued liability? (Amends Article VII, Section 10(D)(2)(b)(iii))

☐ Yes ☒ **NO**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ State retirement systems can decide which unfunded pension debt to pay first when receiving extra one-time state money.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ Retirement systems must continue paying their oldest debt first.
- ➔ **Why NO:** Keep a clear and predictable rule for paying retirement debt.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ State retirement systems
- ➔ Retirees
- ➔ Taxpayers

**Potential Impact**

Gives retirement systems more flexibility in managing pension debt. Supporters say it allows smarter financial planning; critics may prefer keeping the current repayment rules.

**Proposed Amendment 6**  
**Additional Property Tax Exemption for Seniors**

Do you support an amendment to authorize parishes and municipalities to extend an additional property tax exemption for property subject to the homestead exemption that is owned and occupied by a person who is at least sixty-five years of age and who qualifies for the special assessment level? (Effective January 1, 2028) (Adds Article VII, Section 21(P))

☐ Yes ☒ **NO**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ Local governments may choose to offer an additional property tax exemption to qualifying homeowners age 65 and older.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ Local governments cannot offer this new exemption.
- ➔ **Why NO:** More property tax exemptions will mean **less** money for our schools and local services.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Senior homeowners
- ➔ Local governments
- ➔ Taxpayers

**Potential Impact**

Eligible seniors could pay less in property taxes if their parish or municipality adopts the exemption. Local governments choosing to offer it may collect less tax revenue.

**Constitutional Amendments****Proposed Amendment 7**  
**Drinking Water Service Lines**

Do you support an amendment to allow for the use of public funds by a political subdivision for the purpose of identifying, inventorying, removing or replacing drinking water utility service lines made of or affected by materials as specified or prescribed by the Lead and Copper Rule Improvements of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, promulgated October 30, 2024, or subsequent promulgation, on property owned by utility customers? (Amends Article VII, Section 14)

☒ **YES** ☐ **No**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ Public funds can be used to identify, remove, and replace hazardous drinking water service lines—even on private property.
- ➔ **Why YES:** Get dangerous pipes out of our communities and protect families from unsafe drinking water. The federal government has over \$150 million available to fix dangerous lead pipes, but it can only be used if this amendment passes.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ Public funds can continue to be used on public water infrastructure, but cannot be used to remove or replace hazardous service lines on private property.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Homeowners
- ➔ Renters
- ➔ Water Utilities
- ➔ Local Governments

**Potential Impact**

Could speed up the replacement of lead and other hazardous water pipes, improving drinking water safety. May require additional public spending for infrastructure improvements.

**Proposed Amendment 8**  
**Property and Foreign Adversaries**

Do you support an amendment to prohibit expropriation of property by a foreign adversary or an agent of a foreign adversary? (Amends Article I, Section 4(B)(4))

☐ **Yes** ☒ **NO**

**What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ A company owned, controlled, or directed by a foreign adversary, (even partially, or without majority ownership) could not use Louisiana's private expropriation laws to force the sale of someone's property.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ No new constitutional prohibition would be added.
- ➔ **Why NO:** We don't need to change the constitution for something that can be handled through regular state law.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Property owners
- ➔ Government Entities

**Potential Impact**

Adds a constitutional restriction related to property rights and foreign adversaries. Because expropriation by foreign adversaries is uncommon, the practical impact may be limited unless such situations arise.

**Constitutional Amendments****Proposed Amendment 9****Income Limit for Senior Property Tax Assessment Freeze**

Do you support an amendment to increase the maximum amount of income a person may receive and still qualify for the special assessment level for residential property receiving the homestead exemption? (Effective January 1, 2027) (Amends Article VII, Section 18(G)(1)(a)(iii))

☐ Yes☒ **NO****What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ Raises the income limit from \$100,000 to \$150,000 for seniors to qualify for Louisiana's special assessment level, which keeps property taxes from increasing because of higher property values.

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ The current income limit stays at \$100,000.
- ➔ **Why NO:** Expanding property tax breaks will mean less money for schools and local services.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Senior homeowners
- ➔ Local Governments

**Potential Impact**

More older homeowners may qualify for property tax protection, making it easier to remain in their homes as property values rise. Local governments could collect less property tax revenue from eligible properties.

**Proposed Amendment 10****Tax Exemptions for Rehabilitated Blighted Properties**

Do you support an amendment to allow property tax exemptions for blighted or derelict properties that have been rehabilitated, and to require the legislature to enact laws providing for administration of these exemptions? (Effective January 1, 2027) (Adds Article VII, Section 21(P))

☐ Yes☒ **NO****What a YES Vote Means**

- ➔ Creates an optional property tax exemption for rehabilitated blighted or derelict property, with the Legislature required to define eligible property, set the exemption's length, and establish rules for local governments to administer it

**What a NO Vote Means**

- ➔ The Legislature would not be constitutionally required to do this
- ➔ **Why NO:** Voters should know who gets the tax break, how it works, and how long it lasts before putting it in the constitution.

**Who is Affected?**

- ➔ Property owners
- ➔ Developers
- ➔ Neighborhoods
- ➔ Local Governments

**Potential Impact**

May encourage investment in abandoned or deteriorating properties, helping revitalize neighborhoods. Local governments could receive less property tax revenue from qualifying properties during the exemption period.